



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/602,515	06/24/2003	Kazuo Takemasa	AK-418XX	8865	
207	90 07/30/2004		EXAMINER		
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP			LEUNG, RICHARD L		
	TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2021011, 1.2.			3744		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/200-	DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			110			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	_	10/602,515	TAKEMASA, KAZUO			
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard L. Leung	3744			
Period for Reply		opears on the cover sheet with th				
THE MAILING DAT  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified in the period for reply is period for reply in the period for reply within the Any reply received by the period for reply within the period for reply received by the period for the period f	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a respectified above, the maximum (30) they state that they start a section of the provision of the	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on 24	June 2003.				
2a)☐ This action is		is action is non-final.	and the morito is			
3) ☐ Since this ap	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in acc	ordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	455 O.G. 215.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the abo	ove claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are rejected.					
	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specificat	tion is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s	s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may	not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement of	drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or d	eclaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgm	nent is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
	Some * c)☐ None of:					
• —	ed copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certifie	ed copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applic	ation No			
3. Copies	of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage			
	ation from the International Bure					
		st of the certified copies not rece	ived.			
Attachment(s)		_				
1) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892)	4)	ary (PTO-413) I Date.			
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	es 🗀 a tratico de la forma	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Kondo et al. (US-4824454) in view of Klee (US-3433028). Kondo et al. disclose a system for cooling an object 19, comprising a preserving vessel 17, which is filled with liquid cryogen 18 such as liquid nitrogen (column 1, line 12). Referring particularly to FIG. 2 and column 2, said system further comprises a Stirling refrigerator 10 and a condensing chamber 13 outside said preserving vessel 17 wherein vaporized cryogen is re-liquefied. The gas phase part of the condensing chamber 13 is made to communicate with that of said preserving vessel 17 via conduit 15, the liquid phase part of the condensing chamber 13 is made to communicate with that of said preserving vessel 17 via conduit 16, and the cooling part 21 and 22 of said refrigerator is arranged inside the condensing chamber 13. It is clear from FIG. 2 that the liquid phase part of said condensing chamber 13 is set to a position higher than that of the liquid phase part of said preserving vessel 17 since the entire condensing chamber 13 appears to be positioned higher than said preserving vessel 17. Kondo et al. fail to disclose that liquid nitrogen in the preserving vessel is supplied from a liquid nitrogen cylinder. Klee teaches a cryogenic fluid conveying system for use with liquid nitrogen (column 1, line

Art Unit: 3744

26-28) comprising of a cylinder 10 and a conduit 18 through which the liquid nitrogen / may be delivered from said cylinder 10 to some use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in the system disclosed by Kondo et al. the liquid nitrogen cylinder taught by Klee in order to initially fill the preserving vessel with cryogen and to maintain the amount of cryogen in the system during use, for example, if too much liquid cryogen has been vaporized in the preserving vessel and the liquid level is subsequently too low to provide proper cooling.

Claims 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 3. over Kondo et al. (US-4824454) in view of Klee (US-3433028) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yanai et al. (US-5327729). The combination of Kondo et al. and Klee, as already discussed above, demonstrates a system for cooling an object comprising a preserving vessel, a condensing chamber containing the cold parts of a Stirling refrigerator for re-liquefying vaporized cryogen, and a liquid nitrogen cylinder for supplying liquid cryogen to said preserving vessel. It is further shown that said condensing chamber is positioned above said preserving vessel. The combination fails to demonstrate an additional discharge path and safety valve in communication with the condensing chamber that operates to relieve dangerous pressure buildup, as required by claims 4 and 6. Yannai et al. teaches such a discharge path 18 and safety valve 19 in communication with a condensing chamber 1, and it would have been obvious to include this safety valve arrangement in the combination of Kondo et al. and Klee in order to prevent possible rupturing of the condensing chamber if the pressure within the chamber exceeds safe operating levels. The combination of Kondo et al. and Keel also

Art Unit: 3744

fails to demonstrate a pressure sensor arranged in said condensing chamber, and that the Stirling refrigerator is driven when a detection value of said pressure sensor is a predetermined value or higher, as required by claim 2. Yanai et al. teach a condensing chamber 1 for liquefying and storing nitrogen, and located within said condensing chamber 1 is the cold part 2 and 6 of a low-temperature refrigerator 3 for use in condensing nitrogen vapor. With particular reference now to column 3, lines 22-31, said chamber 1 is provided with a pressure sensor 16 that senses the pressure within said chamber 1. If the pressure drops below a predetermined pressure, than the operation of the cold part 2 of the refrigerator 3 is stopped. In other words, the refrigerator 3 is driven when a detection value of the pressure sensor is a predetermined value or higher. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to regulate the Stirling refrigerator demonstrated in the combination of Kondo et al. and Klee using the pressure-sensor arrangement taught by Yanai et al. in order to prevent unnecessary operation of the refrigerator, particularly when there is little vapor in the chamber, and therefore reduce the energy consumption of the system. Claim 5 requires an additional discharge path and safety valve in communication with the condensing chamber that operates to relieve dangerous pressure buildup, which is not demonstrated by the combination of Kondo et al. and Klee. Yannai et al. teaches such a discharge path 18 and safety valve 19, and it would have been further obvious to include this in the combination of Kondo et al. and Klee as already discussed above with regards to claims 4 and 6.

Art Unit: 3744

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-5195577 Kameda et al. 03-23-1993: discloses a cooling system comprising a vessel containing a cooling medium and a condensing chamber for condensing vaporized cooling medium wherein the lowest portion of the condenser is at a level higher than the top surface of the cooling medium in the vessel.

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 703-306-4154. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3744

Examiner Art Unit 3744

rll

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700